



APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/849,605 11/20/2000 Vladimir Matena 06502.0018-01 7197 22852 7590 10/27/2005 EXAMINER FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER PICH, PONNOREAY ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW

2135

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/849,605	MATENA, VLADIMIR		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ponnoreay Pich	2135		

	Ponnoreay Pich	2135	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 October 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply original reply original reply original three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Offi	ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS		(=).	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);	·	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	•	_	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8.	it before or on the data of filing a Ne	ation of Annual will as	at he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	-		•
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	if of the states of the claims after ca	iny is below of attack	ieu.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s).	
13. Other:		-	
•			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's amendments raises new issues that would require further search and/or reconsideration on the part of the examiner.

KIM VU

SUPER***SORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100